
Geopolitics: The Madman Theory and the Undefined Essence of Monsters

By: Mauricio Escuela
04/08/2025



Trump has just sent an ultimatum to Russia. It's an attempt to forcefully advance the West's long-awaited agenda: to force Moscow to let NATO's goal slip through its fingers. The moves seen so far regarding this issue have been mixed, but more than anything, it has become clear that there's no difference between the globalists and the current tenant of the White House. Trump is advancing a plan based on the effective domination of the West through force or persuasion. This includes countering projects that oppose globalism. Hence, leaders who are clearly part of the agenda, such as Boris Johnson, support the application of coercive measures against Russia, even if it means further collapsing the international order and endangering peace. Globalist Western leaders are not interested in such a judgment and apparently play on irrationality.

The BBC's digital portal published about the "madman theory" that Trump is implementing and how it would impact trends that benefit the interests of the United States. What they call a "theory" is nothing more than the chaos that has been witnessed both domestically and internationally since the Republican leader took office. This man, who has no political training, is capable of asking the president of Liberia where he learned English so well, when it's precisely an English-speaking country founded by former American slaves with the consent of the 19th-century US government.

The ignorance is so profound, the arrogance so huge, that consequences can only be negative for Yankee interests. The zero use of smart power has led to the decline of the United States' particular and general agendas as a foreign empire, and the situation in the republic is far from good. What looms in the future, if things don't change, is the dismantling of the American liberal state and its privileges, since Trump seems fascinated by dictatorships, all-powerful mandates, and political botching. This "post-liberal era" is carrying out a demolition of equality before the law, while upholding the market mechanisms that have perpetuated the substantive inequality of the model. In other words, it turns the advantage of the bourgeoisie into a moral fact, which is justified in itself, without any need for legitimate bias. And so, if we look closely, these were even the ideas championed during the election campaign as part of the Maguism.

It seems that post-liberalism sustains exploitation, but not at least the formal guarantee that the exploited can demand, make demands, and protest. It's true that we haven't reached that point yet, but everything indicates that,

in a few years, if the logic of Trumpism isn't reversed, it won't be easy to dissent within American society. The confirmation biases that permeate information consumption are not only harmful, but are also manipulated from behind by corporate power organized around entrusted interests. This post-liberalism is a harsher form of neoliberalism, created through the manipulation of cultural agendas that make up the cognitive framework of the system. In other words, it proceeds to dominate and socially control segmented groups based on ignorance, electoral manipulation, and blackmail from within those in power. This means that the proposals we are seeing within the magistrates are often incoherent, but always defended with a church-like mentality by fanatics.

If Trump attacks Latinos, for the mass of MAGA, that's a stroke of genius; if he praises them tomorrow, it's still a stroke of genius, and there will be arguments and fallacies at both ends of the opinion spectrum. Consistency doesn't matter; reason falls flat and no one cares; power justifies itself. Whenever the magaists were confronted about Trump's predilection for proven authoritarian regimes, these followers of the quasi-messianic leader followed the most absurd and immoral trend possible: the fact that they do business with those nations and that in the axiom of "America First," money is paramount. The agenda of freedom works for some things, but not for others. It all depends on where the piggy bank full of money is.

Irrational? Yes, but that's how what they want to coin as the madman theory works. That is, the imposition of chaotic measures that seem to want to generate global chaos, from which only the strongest profit. Markets depend heavily on stability, but when there's instability, the system doesn't lose anyway; it just passes the embezzlement on to those who benefit the least. Indeed, if the Trump administration has anything, it's little empathy for those outside the ruling class, and there are all kinds of components in this, including a racist and fascist view of history. What seemed immoral and improbable in a West where liberal rights were at least hypocritically spoken of has become a reality. Institutions no longer set the tone, but pure class interests, represented by a person who aspires to make a pact, even with the devil if there's a direct benefit of power and ego.

The madman theory is nothing other than the manifest interest of the globalist agenda to achieve its objectives, whether by force or persuasion, and to achieve this, it relies on the alternation between Republicans and Democrats with only seemingly divergent positions. The essence, the core, are the same and tend to safeguard the decline of the United States in a period in which it seems that such a fall is inevitable. The irrationality of the West stems from the irrationality of wanting them to continue governing a world where they have less economic power.

When Trump's term ends, the United States will no longer be the same. The highly polarized legal and political system will have undergone a complete dismantling of its rational component, and only the class logic of the bourgeoisie will remain. The level of manipulation by the declassified will have reached its peak through the manipulation of cultural agendas, and it will be very difficult to propose alternatives, since they will all be within the post-liberal logic. Is this the end of the rule of law?

Post-liberalism also includes the expansion of a model of government based on the alliance system of Western globalists, so this implies that Europe will sooner or later copy these same political practices. This is already being seen in phenomena such as the anti-immigrant propaganda of various far-right parties and groups, a trend that validates Trump's experience. The demolition is underway, and after it happens, not much will remain standing, at most a little of what was once the liberal West in caricature. That's why Magaism calls itself a movement and isn't just assumed to be a tendency within a party. It's a complete transformation of society, the economy, culture, and politics from the perspective of the far right and corporate business interests. The wet dream of globalists, who saw the ship of false progressivism and woke ideology sink. "Fascist" and "woke" are two labels that operate in the hands of the same master.

The end of Trump will not be the end of Trumpism, unfortunately, but the beginning of something that is about to show its true face. As in the famous metaphor, when there is a transition between one era and another, chiaroscuro and monsters with their undefined essence prevail.